

**BROOKS'S**  
ST. JAMES'S STREET, LONDON, SW1A 1LN  
+44 7814 556423 ☎ MONCKTON@MAIL.COM  
FROM: THE VISCOUNT MONCKTON OF BRECHLEY

11 April 2013

Professor Pat Walsh, Vice-Chancellor,  
Victoria University of Wellington.

[pat.walsh@vuw.ac.nz](mailto:pat.walsh@vuw.ac.nz), [vicky.faint@vuw.ac.nz](mailto:vicky.faint@vuw.ac.nz)

Sir,

### **Dishonesty and other serious misconduct by three staff**

I should be grateful if you would investigate dishonesty and other serious breaches of your university's code of conduct on the part of three staff.

#### **Professor Jonathan Boston**

Professor Boston refused to permit the organizer of my current speaking tour of New Zealand to book a lecture theatre at your university, on the ground that he does not permit academic freedom on the question of climate change, raising fundamental questions about not only his but also the university's commitment to academic freedom of discussion on important scientific and economic questions. I had hoped, *inter alia*, to present results of the paper at flag 1, which is to be published later this year in the 45<sup>th</sup> *Annual Proceedings* of the World Federation of Scientists. Dr. Pachauri of the IPCC, pointing out in Melbourne recently that global warming has paused for 17 years, said that "no issues should be off limits for public discussion". Perhaps the message did not reach Professor Boston.

On investigation, I discover that Professor Boston has dishonestly used and still circulates a fraudulent graph, which he displays on your university's website. He either knows it is fraudulent or is reckless whether it is fraudulent. Details are at flag 2. Professor Boston also unleashed a spectacular series of untruthful and defamatory remarks about me to the tour organizer who spoke to him. In this, too, he was dishonest and acted in bad faith.

His misconduct breaches the staff conduct policy as follows: 4.7.9.n (dishonesty); 4.1 (requirement to act with integrity and in a professional manner); 4.7.1.f (academic practices likely to bring the university into disrepute); 4.7.7 (exercise of academic freedom must be consistent with the obligation to act in good faith); and 4.7.9.j (behaving unreasonably so as to bring the university into disrepute).

#### **Professor James Renwick**


Professor James Renwick recently gave an interview to an agency reporter for the *New Zealand Herald*, which widely published an article containing libellous comments by him on its website on 2 April, 2013. The offending passage is below:

"Dr James Renwick, associate professor of physical geography at Victoria University, dismissed Lord Monckton's views as 'rubbish'.

'He's a great showman and speaker, and climate change is a vehicle to self-publicise. But he has no training and has studiously avoided learning anything about science, I would say.'"

I have not met Professor Renwick. I do not think he has attended any of my lectures or read any of my published papers on climate change. In saying I have "no training" he has lied. I have a Cambridge degree in Classical Architecture. The course included instruction in mathematics. I was last year's Nerenberg Lecturer in Mathematics at the University of Western Ontario.

In saying I have "studiously avoided learning anything about science", Professor Renwick has lied again. From the paper at flag 1 your investigators will be able to form some opinion of the extent to which I have learned anything about science.



In saying that “climate change is a vehicle to self-publicize” and that my views are “rubbish”, Professor Renwick is delivering gratuitous, baseless and childish insults, delivered in his capacity as a Professor at your university. Professors, *qua* Professors, have no business bringing the university into disrepute by thus viciously trying to do harm to the reputation of a blameless third party.

Professor Renwick’s serious misconduct breaches the staff conduct policy as follows: 4.7.9.n (dishonesty); 4.1 (requirement to act with integrity and in a professional manner); 4.7.1.f (academic practices likely to bring the university into disrepute); and 4.7.9.j (behaving unreasonably so as to bring the university into disrepute).

### **Professor David Frame**

Professor David Frame also gave an interview to the agency journalist, and was reported as follows:

“Professor Dave Frame, director of the Climate Change Research Institute at Victoria University, described him as a ‘vaudeville act’ to be ignored.

““Someone who goes around saying things we know are not true can actually be quite harmful.””

Professor Frame’s widely-circulated allegation that I “go around saying things we know are not true”, delivered and widely circulated in his capacity as a Professor at your university, is a grave libel. It imputes dishonesty to me without providing any evidence of my supposed dishonesty so that I can answer it. In making such an allegation Professor Frame is himself dishonest.

His insults to the effect that I am nothing more than a “vaudeville act” and that I am to be ignored, delivered in his capacity as a Professor at your university and widely circulated, were calculated to do me unjustifiable harm and to bring the university into disrepute.

In a separate published statement, Professor Frame issued further insults and said he refused to debate with me because he did not want to give my arguments “credibility”. In effect, he was admitting he would lose the debate, for if my arguments had won the debate they would not have lost credibility.

Professor Frame’s serious misconduct breaches the staff conduct policy as follows: 4.7.9.n (dishonesty); 4.1 (requirement to act with integrity and in a professional manner); 4.7.1.f (academic practices likely to bring the university into disrepute); and 4.7.9.j (behaving unreasonably so as to bring the university into disrepute).

### **Remedies**

I shall be content if, upon investigation, the following remedial steps were taken:

Professor Boston’s fraudulent graph should be removed forthwith from the university’s website. Otherwise, a complaint of scientific fraud may be made to the police. Severe damage has been done to the finances of working New Zealanders by the connected series of international serious scientific frauds that have exaggerated both the likely rate of global warming and the supposed consequences.

Professor Boston should write to the organizer of my tour to apologize for having spat upon academic freedom by refusing her – on improper grounds – the opportunity to book a lecture theatre.

Professors Renwick and Frame should each be invited to write to me to apologize for their libels circulated on the New Zealand Herald’s website, and to undertake not to repeat them in future.

Yours faithfully,

*Monckton of Brenchley*

**Viscount Monckton of Brenchley**

Attached:      Flag 1                      Climate paper *Is CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation cost-effective?*  
                    Flag 2                      Professor Boston’s fraudulent graph